UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

	ARD J. HALL, Plaintiff, v. TV, INC., et al., Defendants.)))))	CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-10413-PBS
			REFERENCE FOR SPUTE RESOLUTION
		TO JUD	GE SARIS
[]	The above-entitled case v to ADR.	was reported set	ttled after referral to the ADR Program, but prior
[X]	On <u>October 13, 2004</u>	, I held the	e following ADR proceeding:
	SCREENING CO	ONFERENCE	EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION
	X CONTINUED MEDIATION		SUMMARY BENCH/JURY TRIAL
	MINI-TRIAL		SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
telepho	-	ted by counsel.	The parties were present in person or by
	The case was:		
[X]	Settled. Your clerk show	ıld enter a 45-da	ay order of dismissal.
[]	Settled. Your clerk should enter a 45-day order of dismissal. There was progress. A further conference has been scheduled for, unless the case is reported settled prior to that date.		
[]	Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This case should be restored to your trial list.		
[]	Suggested strategy to facilitate settlement:		

DATED: October 13, 2004

/ s / Judith Gail Dein

Judith Gail Dein, U.S. Magistrate Judge

ADR Provider